arXiv:1603.01078v1 [math.AC] 3 Mar 2016

HOMOGENEOUS NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS

RAHELEH JAFARI[1] AND SANTIAGO ZARZUELA ARMENGOU[2]

Abstract. We introduce the concept of homogeneous numerical semigroups and show that all homogeneous numerical semigroups with Cohen-Macaulay tangent cones are of homogeneous type. In embedding dimension three, we classify all numerical semigroups of homogeneous type in to numerical semigroups with complete intersection tangent cones and the homogeneous ones with Cohen-Macaulay tangent cones. We also study the behavior of the homogeneous property by gluing and shiftings to construct a large family of homogeneous numerical semigroups with Cohen-Macaulay tangent cones. In particular we show that these properties fulfill assymptotically in the shifting classes. Several explicit examples are provided along the paper to illustrate the property.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S be a numerical semigroup minimally generated by a sequence of positive integers $\mathbf{n} : 0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_d$. For any nonnegative integer j one may consider the shifted sequence $\mathbf{n}+j : 0 < n_1+j < \cdots < n_d+j$. Let $\Bbbk$ be a field and $\Bbbk[S] := \Bbbk[t^{n_1}, \ldots, t^{n_d}] \subset \Bbbk[t]$, where t is a free variable, be the numerical semigroup ring defined by S. This is the homogeneous coordinate ring of the affine monomial curve in $\mathbb{A}^d_{\Bbbk}$ defined parametrically by $x_1 = t^{n_1}, \ldots, x_d = t^{n_d}$. Denote by $I(\mathbf{n}) \subset \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ the defining ideal of $\Bbbk[S]$ obtained from the natural presentation $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_d] \to \Bbbk[S] \to 0$. J. Herzog and H. Srinivasan conjectured that for $j \gg 0$ the Betti numbers of the ideals $I(\mathbf{n}+j)$ become periodic on j with period $n_d - n_1$. In 2013, the conjecture was proven to be true by A. V. Jayanthan and H. Srinivasan for $d = 3$ [16], by A. Marzullo for some particular cases if $d = 4$ [19], and by P. Gimenez, I. Senegupta and H. Srinivasan in the case of arithmetic sequences [9]. Finally, in 2014, T. Vu gave a completely general positive answer in [32]. One of the main ingredients of Vu's proof is that there exists a positive integer N such that any minimal binomial non-homogeneous generator of $I(\mathbf{n}+j)$ is of the form $x_1^{a_1}u - vx_d^{a_d}$, where a_1, a_d are positive integres, u, v are monomials in the variables $x_2, \ldots, x_{d-1}$, and $\deg x_1^{a_1}u > \deg vx_d^{a_d}$. It is noteworthy that the bound N depends on the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $J(\mathbf{n})$, the ideal generated by the homogeneous elements in $I(\mathbf{n})$. Let $I(\mathbf{n})_*$ be the homogeneous ideal generated by the initial forms of the elements in $I(\mathbf{n})$. Then, $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_d]/I(\mathbf{n})_* \simeq G(S)$, the tangent cone of $\Bbbk[S]$. By using this main ingredient in Vu's proof of the conjecture,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D02, 13A30, 13P10.

Key words and phrases. Numerical semigroup rings, Tangent cones, Betti numbers.

Raheleh Jafari was supported in part by a grant from IPM (No. 94130129).

Santiago Zarzuela Armengou was supported by MTM2013-40775P.

J. Herzog and D. I. Stamate proved in [14] that for any $j > N$, the Betti numbers of the ideals $I(\mathbf{n}+j)$ and $I(\mathbf{n}+j)_*$ coincide. Following the general definition given by J. Herzog, M. Rossi, and G. Valla in [13], we say that S if of homogeneous type if the above condition on the Betti numbers is satisfied. So the result of Herzog-Stamate may be rephrased by saying that $S+j$ is of homogeneous type for any $j > N$. Note that if a numerical semigroup S is of homogeneous type then the tangent cone $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

In this paper we introduce a new condition on S, to be homogeneous (cf. Definition 3.1), that jointly with the Cohen-Macaulay property of $G(S)$ turns out to be equivalent to a property much similar the one cited above as the main ingredient of Vu's proof of the Herzog-Srinivasan conjecture (cf. Theorem 3.12). In fact, this property is given in terms of the Aṕery set of S and so it can be checked in terms of the generating sequence of integers $\mathbf{n}$. We then show that if S is homogeneous and the tangent cone $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then S is of homogeneous type (cf. Theorem 3.17). Taking into account that the Cohen-Macaulay property of $G(S)$ can also be checked in terms of the Aṕery set of S, we get a method to prove that a numerical semigroup S is of homogeneous type that only depends on the Aṕery set of S and, ultimately, on elementary computations on the sequence $\mathbf{n}$. In addition, we prove that there exists a positive integer L such that for any $j > L$, all the numerical semigroups generated by sequences of the form $\mathbf{n}+j$ are homogeneous and have Cohen-Macaulay tangent cone (cf. Corollary 6.4), so in particular they are of homogeneous type. The novelty here is that the constant L only depends on the sequence of integers $\mathbf{n}$ and can be easily computed. In fact, it can be computed in terms of what we call the shifting type of a numerical semigroup (cf. Definition 6.6): two numerical semigroups can be obtained one from another as a shifting if and only if they have the same shifting type. So our results say that in the class of numerical semigroups with the same shifting type, all numerical semigroups except a finite number, that only depends of its shifting type, are of homogeneous type.

As a typical example of homogeneous numerical semigroups we have (among several others) those generated by generalized arithmetic sequences (cf. Example 3.6). In this case, the tangent cone is also Cohen-Macaulay and so they are of homogeneous type. For some special cases of the class of numerical semigroups generated by a generalized arithemtic sequence (namely, for $d \leq 4$ or $n_1 \leq 2d$), this property was proven by L. Sharifan and R. Zaare-Nahandi by completely different methods in [30]. On the other hand, numerical semigroups of homogeneous type are not necessarily homogeneous. This is the case for some complete intersection numerical semigroups (cf. Remark 3.16 and Example 3.19). We then explore the difference between both classes of numerical semigroups, and found that in embedding dimension 3 any numerical semigroup which is of homogeneous type has a complete intersection tangent cone or it is homogeneous with Cohen-Macaulay tangent cone (cf. Theorem 4.5). In embedding dimension 4 we give several examples illustrating this difference. In all cases we have checked we get the same conclusion as in embedding dimension 3, and we ask ourselves if the

same is true for larger embedding dimensions, that is, if any numerical semigroup of embedding dimension $d \geq 4$ which is of homogeneous type, has a complete intersection tangent cone or it is homogeneous with Cohen-Macaulay tangent cone. By using gluing techniques we also show that for any embedding dimension d, there are infinitely many complete intersection numerical semigroups which are of homogeneous type but not homogeneous (cf. Corollary 5.13).

Now, we briefly describe the content of the paper. All the necessary notations and machinery on numerical semigroups is introduced and fixed in Section 2. In Section 3 we prove our main results on homogeneous numerical semigroups, characterize them, and relate with the property of being of homogeneous type. In Section 4 we study in detail the case of embedding dimension 3 and provide different families of examples with embbedding dimension 4. Then, in Section 5 we study the behavior of the homogeneous property by gluing, particularly for the case of extensions. Finally, in Section 6 we study shiftings and prove that the property of being homogeneous and having Cohen-Macaulay tangent cone fulfills assymptotically in the shifting classes. Many of the explicit examples along this paper have been computed by using the NumericalSgps package of GAP [6]

Part of this work has been developed during two stays that the first author has done in the Institute of Mathematics of the University of Barcelona (IMUB) in 2014 and 2016. Both authors would like to thank the IMUB for its hospitality and support. We also thank Anargyros Katsabekis for several discussions on the subject in the case of embedding dimension four, and Dumitru Stamate for telling us about his recent results in [31].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathbf{n} : n_1, \ldots, n_d$ be a sequence of integers with $n_1 < n_i$ for all $i = 2, \ldots, d$, and $S = \langle n_1, \ldots, n_d \rangle$ be the (sub)semigoup of $\mathbb{N}$ generated by $\mathbf{n}$. We call S a *numerical semigroup* when $\gcd(n_1, \ldots, n_d) = 1$ or equivalently $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ is a finite set (cf. [8]). Let $\Bbbk$ be a field. Then, the sequence $\mathbf{n}$ gives rise to a *monomial curve* $C := C(\mathbf{n}) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^d_{\Bbbk}$ whose parametrization is given by $x_1 = t^{n_1}, \ldots, x_d = t^{n_d}$. Let $\Bbbk[S] := \Bbbk[t^{n_1}, \ldots, t^{n_d}] \subset \Bbbk[t]$ be the *semigroup ring* generated by S and set $P := \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ the polynomial ring over $\Bbbk$. Let $I(\mathbf{n}) := \ker(\varphi)$, where $\varphi : P \longrightarrow \Bbbk[t]$ is the canonical homomorphism defined by $\varphi(x_i) = t^{n_i}$. We have that $P/I(\mathbf{n}) \simeq \Bbbk[S]$ and in the case that S is a numerical semigroup, or $\Bbbk$ is algebraically closed, then $\Bbbk[S]$ is the coordinate ring of $C(\mathbf{n})$ and so $I(\mathbf{n})$ is in fact the defining ideal of $C(\mathbf{n})$ (cf. [22]). Moreover, if $\mathbf{n}$ is a minimal system of generators of S, the ideal $I(\mathbf{n})$ only depends on S and we set $I_S := I(\mathbf{n})$. Let $g := \gcd(n_1, \ldots, n_d)$. The numerical semigroup assigned to S is defined as

$$N(S) := \langle n_1/g, \ldots, n_d/g \rangle.$$

Note that for any positive integer s, we have $s \in N(S)$ if and only if $gs \in S$.

Remark 2.1. The natural ring homomorphism

$$\Bbbk[N(S)] = \Bbbk[t^{n_1/g}, \dots, t^{n_d/g}] \longrightarrow \Bbbk[t^{n_1}, \dots, t^{n_d}] = \Bbbk[S]$$

is an isomorphism.

Throughout this paper we consider the natural grading on the polynomial ring. For a vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ of non-negative integers, we use $x^{\mathbf{a}}$ to denote the monomial $x_1^{a_1} \dots x_d^{a_d}$. It is known that $I(\mathbf{n})$ is generated by binomials $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}}$ where $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are d-tuples of non-negative integers with $\varphi(\mathbf{a}) = \varphi(\mathbf{b})$. The set $\{x_i \mid a_i + b_i \neq 0\}$ of all variables appear in $f = x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}}$, is called the support of f and is denoted by $\operatorname{supp}(f)$. By definition, each element $s \in S$ can be written as $s = \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i n_i$ for some non-negative integers a_i. The vector $\mathbf{a}$ is called a *factorization* of s and the set of all factorizations of s is denoted by $\mathcal{F}(s)$, which is obviously a finite set. Let $|\mathbf{a}| = \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i$ denote the total order of $\mathbf{a}$. Then the maximum integer n which is the total order of a vector in $\mathcal{F}(s)$ is called the *order* of s and is denoted by $\operatorname{ord}_S(s)$. A vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{F}(s)$ with $|\mathbf{a}| = \operatorname{ord}_S(s)$, is called a *maximal factorization* of s and $s = \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i n_i$ is called a *maximal expression* of s. For a vector $\mathbf{a}$ of non-negative integers, we set $s(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i n_i$.

Remark 2.2. Let s be an element of S and $M = S \setminus \{0\}$ be the maximal ideal of S. Then the order of s is the maximum integer n such that nM contains s. In other words, $s \in nM \setminus (n+1)M$ if and only if $n = \operatorname{ord}_S(s)$.

We use two partial orderings $\preceq$ and $\preceq_M$ on S where, for all elements x and y in S, $x \preceq y$ if there is an element $z \in S$ such that $y = x + z$ and $x \preceq_M y$ if $y = x + z$ with $\operatorname{ord}_S(y) = \operatorname{ord}_S(x) + \operatorname{ord}_S(z)$ for some $z \in S$. For a subset $T \subset S$, considering these orderings, the maximal elements of T are denoted respectively by $\operatorname{Max} T$ and $\operatorname{Max}_M T$ and the minimal elements of T are respectively denoted by $\operatorname{Min} T$ and $\operatorname{Min}_M T$. It is clear that $\operatorname{Max} T \subseteq \operatorname{Max}_M T$ and $\operatorname{Min} T \subseteq \operatorname{Min}_M T$. The following easy fact will be used frequently in our approach.

Lemma 2.3. *Let s and y be elements in S. Then*

1. *$s \preceq y$ if and only if there exist factorizations $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{F}(s)$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{F}(y)$ such that the monomial $x^{\mathbf{b}}$ is divided by $x^{\mathbf{a}}$.*
2. *$s \preceq_M y$ if and only if there exist maximal factorizations $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{F}(s)$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{F}(y)$ such that the monomial $x^{\mathbf{b}}$ is divided by $x^{\mathbf{a}}$.*

The *leading term* of an element $f \in P$ is the homogeneous summand of f with least degree, which we denote it by f_* and set $\operatorname{ld}(f)$ for the degree of f_*. For an ideal $I \subset P$ we set $I_* \subset P$ be the graded ideal generated by all f_* with $f \in I$. A set $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$ of elements of I is called a *standard basis* for I if I_* is generated by $\{f_{1*}, \dots, f_{r*}\}$.

In the sequel, we consider the coordinate ring $G(S)$ of the tangent cone of $R = \Bbbk[S]$, which is precisely the associated graded ring $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ of R with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (t^{n_1}, \dots, t^{n_d})$. Note that

$$G(S) = \operatorname{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) \cong \Bbbk[x_1, \dots, x_d]/I(\mathbf{n})_* \tag{1}$$

Let $A = \Bbbk[\![x_1, \ldots, x_d]\!]$ be the formal power series ring and $\Bbbk[\![S]\!] = \Bbbk[\![t^{n_1}, \ldots, t^{n_d}]\!]$ be the local ring associated to S. In other words $\Bbbk[\![S]\!] = \Bbbk[\![x_1, \ldots, x_d]\!]/J(\mathbf{n})$, where $J(\mathbf{n})$ is the kernel of the canonical homomorphism $\psi : A \longrightarrow \Bbbk[\![t]\!]$ defined by $\psi(x_i) = t^{n_i}$. Note that the one dimensional integral domain $k[\![S]\!]$ is indeed the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion of $\Bbbk[S]$. The smallest element of $N(S)$, $e := n_1/g$, is equal to the multiplicity of $\Bbbk[\![N(S)]\!] \cong \Bbbk[\![S]\!]$. Indeed t^e generates a minimal reduction of the maximal ideal of $\Bbbk[\![N(S)]\!]$. The element e is called the *multiplicity* of S and is denoted by $m(S)$.

For an element $f \in \Bbbk[S]$ with $\mathfrak{m}$-adic order d, the residue class of f in $\mathfrak{m}^d/\mathfrak{m}^{d+1}$ is called the *initial form* of f and is denoted by f^*. Note that $(t^s)^*(t^{s'})^* = 0$ for two elements $s, s' \in S$, if and only if $\mathrm{ord}_S(s + s') > \mathrm{ord}_S(s) + \mathrm{ord}_S(s')$. Hence if we set

$$T(S) := \{s \in S;\, \exists\, c > 0 \text{ with } \mathrm{ord}(s + cn_1) > \mathrm{ord}(s) + c\},$$

then $T(S)$ is precisely the set of elements of $s \in S$ such that $(t^s)^*$ annihilates some power of t^{n_1}. These elements are called *torsion* elements of S. In the case that S is a numerical semigroup, it is known that $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $T(S) = \emptyset$, which is proved for the first time by A. García in [7, Theorem 7, Remark 8], see also [4, Remark 2.11] for a different proof. Now, by the isomorphism in Remark 2.1, we have the following statement.

Proposition 2.4. *$G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $T(S) = \emptyset$.*

Let $<$ be a monomial order on P and let $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i x^{\mathbf{a}_i}$ be a non-zero polynomial with $r_i \in \Bbbk$. The *leading monomial* of f with respect to $<$, denoted by $\mathrm{lm}_<(f)$, is the biggest monomial with respect to $<$ among the monomials $\{x^{\mathbf{a}_1}, \ldots, x^{\mathbf{a}_n}\}$. A set of polynomials $G = \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ of an ideal I is called a *Gröbner basis* of I with respect to $<$, if $\{\mathrm{lm}_<(f_1), \ldots, \mathrm{lm}_<(f_n)\}$ is the set of generators for the monomial ideal $\mathrm{lm}_<(I) = (\mathrm{lm}_<(f) \mid f \in I)$. Since the monomial ideal $\mathrm{lm}_<(I)$ has a unique minimal set of monomial generators, the set of leading monomials of elements of any minimal Gröbner basis for I, is a unique set. A Gröbner basis G is called *reduced* if the coefficient of $\mathrm{lm}_<(f_i)$ in f_i is one for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and for $i \neq j$, none of the monomials of $\mathrm{supp}\,(f_j)$ is divided by $\mathrm{lm}_<(f_i)$. Any Gröbner basis of I is a generating set for I, a reduced Gröbner basis exists and it is uniquely determined (cf. [12, Theorem 2.2.7]).

Remark 2.5. Let $G = \{f_1, \ldots, f_r\}$ be the reduced Gröbner basis of I. For each $f \in I$, $\mathrm{lm}_<(f)$ is divided by $\mathrm{lm}_<(f_i)$ for some $i = 1, \ldots r$. Therefore $\mathrm{lm}_<(f_i)$ does not divide $\mathrm{lm}_<(f_j)$ for all $j \neq i$.

Remark 2.6. Since $I(\mathbf{n})$ is a binomial ideal, the reduced Gröbner basis of I consists of binomials by [12, Proposition 2.3.7].

We consider the negative degree reverse lexicographical ordering with $x_2 > \cdots > x_d > x_1$, i.e. $x^{\mathbf{b}} <_{ds} x^{\mathbf{a}}$ precisely when one of the following statements holds:

- $|\mathbf{b}| > |\mathbf{a}|$; or
- $|\mathbf{b}| = |\mathbf{a}|$, $b_1 > a_1$; or
- $|\mathbf{b}| = |\mathbf{a}|$, $b_1 = a_1, b_d = a_d, \ldots, b_{i+1} = a_{i+1}, b_i > a_i$ for some $2 \leq i \leq d$.

Remark 2.7. Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i x^{\mathbf{a}_i}$ be a homogeneous polynomial, where $r_i \in \Bbbk$. Let $x^{\mathbf{a}_1} = \mathrm{lm}_{<_{ds}}(f)$ and $x_1 \in \mathrm{supp}\,(x^{\mathbf{a}_1})$. Since $x^{\mathbf{a}_i} <_{ds} x^{\mathbf{a}_1}$ and $|\mathbf{a}_i| = |\mathbf{a}_1|$, we have $x_1 \in \mathrm{supp}\,(x^{\mathbf{a}_i})$ for all $i = 2, \ldots, d$. In particular x_1 divides f.

Proposition 2.8 (cf. [1, Lemma 2.7])**.** *Let $G = \{f_1, \ldots, f_s\}$ be a Gröbner basis of $I(\mathbf{n})$ with respect to $<_{ds}$. Then $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if x_1 does not divide $\mathrm{lm}_{<_{ds}}(f_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$.*

Corollary 2.9. *Let G be a Gröbner basis of $I(\mathbf{n})$ with respect to $<_{ds}$. Then x_1 does not divide $\mathrm{lm}_{<_{ds}}(f)$ for all $f \in G$, if and only if x_1 does not divide the leading monomials of elements of any minimal Gröbner basis of $I(\mathbf{n})$ with respect to $<_{ds}$.*

3. Semigroups with homogeneous Apéry sets

For an element $s \in S$, the Apéry set of S with respect to s is defined as

$$\mathrm{AP}(S, s) = \{x \in S \mid x - s \notin S\}.$$

Let $g := \gcd(n_1, \ldots, n_d)$ and $N(S) = \langle n_1/g, \ldots, n_d/g \rangle$ be the numerical semigroup assigned to S. Then for any positive integer s, we have $s \in N(S)$ if and only if $gs \in S$. Hence

$$\mathrm{AP}(S, s) = \{gx \mid x \in \mathrm{AP}(N(S), s/g)\}.$$

The Apéry set $\mathrm{AP}(N(S), t)$, for $t \in N(S)$, is indeed the set of the smallest elements in $N(S)$ in each congruence class modulo t and has t elements.

Given $0 \neq s \in S$, the set of lengths of s in S is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}(s) = \{\sum_{i=1}^{d} r_i \mid s = \sum_{i=1}^{d} r_i n_i, r_i \geq 0\}.$$

Definition 3.1. A subset $T \subset S$ is called *homogeneous* if either it is empty or $\mathcal{L}(s)$ is singleton for all $0 \neq s \in T$. In other words, all expressions of elements in T are maximal. The numerical semigroup S is called homogeneous, when the Apéry set $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_1)$ is homogeneous.

Example 3.2. Let $d = 2$. Then $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_1) = \{0, n_2, \ldots, (n_1 - 1)n_2\}$ is clearly homogeneous.

Example 3.3. A numerical semigroup is called of (almost) maximal embedding dimension if its multiplicity is equal to the embedding dimension (minus one). As in this case, the Apéry set is precisely the minimal set of generators (and one more element of order two), S is homogeneous.

Example 3.4. Let $b > a > 3$ be coprime integers. The semigroup $H_{a,b} = \langle a, b, ab-a-b \rangle$ is called Frobenius semigroup (c.f.[14]), since it is obtained from the semigroup $\langle a, b \rangle$ by adding its Frobenius number. Note that $\mathrm{AP}(H_{a,b}, a) = \{0, b, \ldots, (a-2)b, ab-a-b\}$ and so it is homogeneous.

Note also that $(ab - a - b) + a = (a - 1)b$ has order $a - 1 > 2$ and so $G(H_{a,b})$ is not Cohen-Macaulay. Indeed $T(H_{a,b}) = \{ab - a - b\}$.

Example 3.5. Recall that I_S is called *generic* if it is generated by binomials with full support i.e. all variables belong to the support of these binomials (cf. [21]). Let E be the generic minimal set of generators of I_S and let $s \in \mathrm{AP}(S, n_i)$ with two expressions $s = \sum_{j=2}^{d} a_j n_j = \sum_{j=2}^{d} b_j n_j$. Then $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}} \in I_S$ should be generated by elements of E. But all elements in E have x_i in their support, which is not possible. Hence the elements of $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_i)$ have unique expressions, in particular $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_i)$ is homogeneous.

Example 3.6. Let S be the numerical semigroup minimally generated by the generalized arithmetic sequence n_0, $n_i = hn_0 + it$ where t and h are positive integers and $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Since the generators are relatively prime, $\gcd(n_0, t) = 1$. We know from [28] or [20, Proposition 2.2] that

$$\mathrm{AP}(S, n_0) = \{hn_0\lceil \frac{r}{d} \rceil + tr \ ; \ 0 \leq r < n_0\}.$$

Note that for an element $a = lhn_0 + tr$ of S with $l \geq 1$, if $r \geq n_0$, then $r = qn_0 + r_1$ for some positive integer q and $0 \leq r_1 < n_0$. Now, $a = w + (l-1)hn_0 + qtn_0$ where $w = hn_0 + tr_1 \in S$ and so $a \notin \mathrm{AP}(S, n_0)$.

Let $s \in \mathrm{AP}(S, n_0)$, with two expressions of length l and l'. Then

$$s = lhn_0 + tr = l'hn_0 + tr'.$$

Since $\gcd(n_0, t) = 1$, we have $lh = l'h + \alpha t$ and $r' = r + \alpha n_0$ for some integer α. On the other hand $r, r' < n_0$, since s belongs to $s \in \mathrm{AP}(S, n_0)$. Hence $\alpha = 0$ and consequently $l = l'$. Therefore $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_0)$ is a homogeneous set. Implicitly we have $\mathrm{ord}_S(hn_0\lceil \frac{r}{d} \rceil + tr) = \lceil \frac{r}{d} \rceil$, for all $0 \leq r < n_0$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let* $\mathbf{c}$ *be a factorization of an element* s *of* S*. Then there exists a minimal set of generators* E *of* I_S *such that each binomial* $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}} \in E$ *with* $s(\mathbf{a}) \notin \mathrm{AP}(S, s)$*, has one term divided by* $x^{\mathbf{c}}$*.*

Proof. Let E_1 be a finite set of generators for I_S. If $g = x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}} \in E_1$ with $z = s(\mathbf{a}) \notin \mathrm{AP}(S, s)$, then $z = \sum_{i=1}^{d} d_i n_i$, where $d_i \geq c_i$. Now

$$E_2 = (E_1 \setminus \{g\}) \cup \{x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{d}}, x^{\mathbf{b}} - x^{\mathbf{d}}\}$$

is again a finite set of generators for I_S. Continuing in this way, we get a generating set with the desired property and removing extra elements we have a minimal set of generators. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let* E *be a subset of homogeneous binomials in* I_S *and* J *be the ideal generated by* E*. Then any binomial in* J *is homogeneous.*

Proof. Let $f = x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}}$ be a binomial in J. If $|\mathbf{a}| \neq |\mathbf{b}|$, then $x^{\mathbf{a}}$ is a homogeneous component of f. Hence $x^{\mathbf{a}} \in J \subseteq I_S$, a contradiction. □

Proposition 3.9. *The following statements are equivalent for all* $s \in S$*.*

1. $\mathrm{AP}(S, s)$ *is homogeneous.*
2. *For any factorization* $\mathbf{c}$ *of* s*, there exists a minimal set of generators* E *for* I_S *such that one term of each non-homogeneous element of* E *is divided by* $x^{\mathbf{c}}$*.*

Proof. (1)⇒(2): Let $\mathbf{c}$ be a factorization of s. By Lemma 3.7, there exists a minimal set of generators E of I_S such that each binomial $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}} \in E$ with $s(\mathbf{a}) \notin \mathrm{AP}(S, s)$, has one term divided by $x^{\mathbf{c}}$. Let $f = x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}}$ be a non-homogeneous binomial in E. Then $x = \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i n_i = \sum_{i=1}^{d} b_i n_i$ has two expressions with different lengths. Hence $x \notin \mathrm{AP}(S, s)$ and so $x^{\mathbf{a}}$ or $x^{\mathbf{b}}$ is divided by $x^{\mathbf{c}}$.

(2)⇒(1): Let

$$\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\} = \{f \in E \mid \text{ no term of } f \text{ is divided by any factorization of } s\}.$$

Let $z \in \mathrm{AP}(S, s)$ with two expressions $z = \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_j n_j = \sum_{j=1}^{d} b_j n_j$. Then $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}} \in (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$. Since all f_j are homogeneous by the hypothesis, we get $|\mathbf{a}| = |\mathbf{b}|$, from Lemma 3.8. □

Corollary 3.10. *The following statements are equivalent for $i = 1, \ldots, d$.*

1. $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_i)$ *is homogeneous.*
2. *There exists a minimal set of generators E for I_S such that x_i belongs to the support of all non-homogeneous elements of E.*

Consider the natural map

$$\pi : P = \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_d] \longrightarrow \bar{P} := \Bbbk[x_2, \ldots, x_d],$$

where $\pi(x_1) = 0$ and $\pi(x_i) = x_i$ for $i = 2, \ldots, d$. Then

$$\bar{P}/\pi(I_S) \cong P/(I_S, x_1).$$

For a polynomial $f \in P$, we set $\bar{f} := \pi(f)$ and for a vector of non-negative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_d)$, we set

$$\bar{\mathbf{a}} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{a} & \text{if } a_1 = 0 \\ (a_1 - 1, a_2, \ldots, a_d) & \text{if } a_1 > 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.11. Let E be a minimal set of generators for I_S and $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}} \in E$. Note that $a_i b_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, d$, since I_S is prime and E is a minimal set of generators. If $b_1 \neq 0$, then we replace $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}}$ by $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x_1 x^{\mathbf{c}}$ and $x^{\mathbf{b}} - x_1 x^{\mathbf{c}}$, where $\mathbf{c}$ is a maximal expression in $\mathcal{F}(s(\bar{b}))$. Finally, we will have a set of minimal generators with the property that, for any $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}} \in E$ with $b_1 \neq 0$, we have $\bar{\mathbf{b}}$ is a maximal expression.

Next theorem is one of the main results in the paper.

Theorem 3.12. *The following statements are equivalent.*

1. *S is homogeneous and $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*
2. *For all $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}} \in I_S$ with $|\mathbf{a}| > |\mathbf{b}|$, we have $s(\mathbf{a}) \notin \mathrm{AP}(S, n_1)$. Moreover, if $a_1 + b_1 \neq 0$ and $\bar{\mathbf{b}}$ is a maximal factorization, then $a_1 \geq b_1$.*
3. *There exists a minimal set of binomial generators E for I_S such that for all $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}} \in E$ with $|\mathbf{a}| > |\mathbf{b}|$, we have $a_1 \neq 0$.*
4. *There exists a minimal set of binomial generators E for I_S which is a standard basis and for all $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}} \in E$ with $|\mathbf{a}| > |\mathbf{b}|$, we have $a_1 \neq 0$.*

(5) *There exists a minimal Gröbner basis G for I_S with respect to $<_{ds}$, such that x_1 belongs to the support of all non-homogeneous elements of G and x_1 does not divide $\mathrm{lm}_{<_{ds}}(f)$, for all $f \in G$.*

Proof. (1)⇒(2): The first statement follows by Definition 3.1. For the second part, let $s := s(\bar{\mathbf{b}})$. Then $\mathrm{ord}_S(s) = \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{b}_i$. If $a_1 < b_1$, then $\bar{b}_1 = b_1 - 1$ and $s + n_1 = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i n_i$. Hence $\mathrm{ord}_S(s + n_1) \geq \sum_{i=1}^d a_i > 1 + \mathrm{ord}_S(s)$, which implies that s is a torsion element and this contradicts Proposition 2.4.

(2)⇒(3): Let E_1 be a finite set of generators for I_S. Let $g = x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}} \in E_1$ with $|\mathbf{a}| > |\mathbf{b}|$ and $a_1 = 0$. Then $s = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i n_i = \sum_{i=1}^d b_i n_i \notin \mathrm{AP}(S, n_1)$ by the statement (2). Therefore $s = \sum_{i=1}^d c_i n_i$, where $c_1 > 0$ and $\sum_{i=2}^d c_i n_i$ is a maximal expression. Now, $E_2 = (E_1 \setminus \{g\}) \cup \{x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{c}}, x^{\mathbf{b}} - x^{\mathbf{c}}\}$ is again a finite set of generators for I_S. Note also that $c_1 > a_1$ and $c_1 > b_1$ by the statement of (2). Continuing in this way, we get a generating set with the desired property and removing extra elements we have a minimal set of generators.

(3)⇒(4): We use the idea in the proof of [14, Theorem 1.4]. Let

$$E = \{f_1, \ldots, f_t, g_1, \ldots, g_r\},$$

where $f_1, \ldots, f_t$ are homogeneous binomials and $g_1, \ldots, g_r$ are non-homogeneous. Let $g_i = x^{\mathbf{a}_i} - x^{\mathbf{b}_i}$ with $|\mathbf{a}_i| > |\mathbf{b}_i|$. Then x_1 divides $x^{\mathbf{a}_i}$ and so $\pi(g_i) = x^{\mathbf{b}_i}$. Hence $\pi(I_S)$ is generated by $B = \{\bar{f}_1, \ldots, \bar{f}_t, x^{\mathbf{b}_1}, \ldots, x^{\mathbf{b}_r}\}$. Note that $\bar{f}_i$ is either equal to f_i which is homogeneous, or it is a monomial. Therefore B is a homogeneous set of generators for $\pi(I_S)$. In particular B is a standard basis of $\pi(I_S)$. Now, using [14, Lemma 1.2] we get that E is a standard basis of I_S (see the proof of [14, Theorem 1.4]).

(4)⇒(5): Let $G = \{g_1 = x^{\mathbf{c}_1} - x^{\mathbf{d}_1}, \ldots, g_s = x^{\mathbf{c}_s} - x^{\mathbf{d}_s}\}$ be the reduced Gröbner basis with $\mathrm{lm}_{<_{ds}}(g_i) = x^{\mathbf{c}_i}$ and $E = \{f_1 = x^{\mathbf{a}_1} - x^{\mathbf{b}_1}, \ldots, f_r = x^{\mathbf{a}_r} - x^{\mathbf{b}_r}\}$. If g_i is non-homogeneous, then $|\mathbf{c}_i| < |\mathbf{d}_i|$ and so $g_{i*} = x^{\mathbf{c}_i}$. Since E is a standard basis, f_{j_*} divides $x^{\mathbf{c}_i}$ for some j. Therefore f_j is non-homogeneous, say $|\mathbf{b}_j| < |\mathbf{a}_j|$. Then $f_{j_*} = x^{\mathbf{b}_j} = \mathrm{lm}_{<_{dc}}(f_j)$ divides $\mathrm{lm}_{<_{ds}}(g_i)$, which implies that $x^{\mathbf{b}_j} = \mathrm{lm}_{<_{ds}}(g_i) = x^{\mathbf{c}_i}$ by Remark 2.5. Note that x_1 is not in the support of homogeneous elements of G from Remark 2.7. Now replacing g_i with f_j, we get the desired Gröbner basis.

(5)⇒(1): From Corollary 3.10 it follows that S is homogeneous and Proposition 2.8 implies the Cohen-Macaulayness of $G(S)$. □

The following example illustrates the fact that even if S is homogeneous and $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, not any minimal generating set for I_S satisfies the properties of the theorem.

Example 3.13. Let $S = \langle 8, 10, 12, 25\rangle$. Then $\mathrm{AP}(S, 8) = \{25, 10, 35, 12, 37, 22, 47\}$ and $G_1 = \{x_1^3 - x_3^2, x_2^5 - x_4^2, x_1x_3 - x_2^2\}$ is a minimal generating set (the reduced Gröbner basis) for I_S. We can easily see that $\mathrm{AP}(S, 8)$ is a homogeneous set, while $x_2^5 - x_4^2$ is a non-homogeneous element without x_1 in its support. Note that $2 \times 25 = 5 \times 10 = 8 + 3 \times 10 + 12$. Hence replacing $x_2^5 - x_4^2$ by $x_1x_2^3x_3 - x_2^5$ and $x_1x_2^3x_3 - x_4^2$, we get the

minimal generating set $G_2 = \{x_1^3 - x_3^2, x_1x_2^3x_3 - x_2^5, x_1x_2^3x_3 - x_4^2, x_1x_3 - x_2^2\}$ which is also a Gröbner basis and satisfy the properties (3) and (5) of Theorem 3.12.

By a general result due to Robbiano (see [23], [13]), Betti numbers of the associated graded ring $G(S)$ are above bounds for Betti numbers of the semigroup ring R i.e. $\beta_i(R) \leq \beta_i(G(S))$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Definition 3.14. The semigroup S is called *of homogeneous type* if $\beta_i(R) = \beta_i(G(S))$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Remark 3.15. If S is of homogeneous type, then depth $(G(S))$ = depth $(S) = 1$ and so $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Remark 3.16. If $G(S)$ is complete intersection, then $\beta_1(S) = \beta_1(G(S)) = d - 1$. Hence the free resolutions of S and $G(S)$ coincide with the Koszul complexes with respect to $d - 1$ elements and so S is of homogeneous type.

The following result is inspired by the ideas given in the proof of [14, Theorem 1.4].

Theorem 3.17. *Let S be a homogeneous numerical semigroup with Cohen-Macaulay tangent cone. Then S is of homogeneous type.*

Proof. Let $E = \{f_1, \ldots, f_t, g_1, \ldots, g_r\}$ be the minimal set of generators of I_S which exists by Theorem 3.12(4) and it is also a standard basis. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_t$ be homogeneous binomials and $g_1, \ldots, g_r$ be non-homogeneous. Then the term of g_i which is not the leading term is divided by x_1, for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Hence $\pi(I_S)$ is a homogeneous ideal and so

$$\beta_i(\bar{P}/\pi(I_S)) = \beta_i(\mathrm{gr}_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}}(\bar{P}/\pi(I_S)),$$

where $\bar{\mathfrak{n}} = \pi(\mathfrak{n})$. Note that $G(S)$ is indeed the completion of $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(P/I_S)$ with respect to the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic topology. As x_1 is a non-zero-divisor on $G(S)$, it is also a regular element of $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(P/I_S)$ and P/I_S as well. Hence

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}}(\bar{P}/\pi(I_S)) \cong \mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(P/I_S)/x_1\,\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(P/I_S),$$

by [11, Lemma, P. 185]. Now, the result follows from the fact that Betti numbers are preserved under dividing by regular elements. □

It is proved in [14, Proposition 2.5] that a numerical semigroup generated by an arithmetic sequence is of homogeneous type. For some classes of semigroups generated by generalized arithmetic sequences, it is shown in [30, Corollary 4.12], that they are of homogeneous type. Now, we have:

Corollary 3.18. *Let S be a numerical semigroup generated by a generalized arithmetic sequence. Then S is of homogeneous type.*

Proof. By [30, Corollary 3.2], $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Now, the result follows by Remark 3.6 and Theorem 3.17. □

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 3.17, does not hold even in embedding dimension three.

Example 3.19. Let $S := \langle 15, 21, 28\rangle$. Then $I(S) = (x_2^4 - x_3^3, x_1^7 - x_2^5)$ is minimally generated by a standard basis of two elements. Hence $G(S)$ is complete intersection and so S is of homogeneous type (cf. Remark 3.16), but it is not homogeneous, since $3 \times 28 = 4 \times 21 = 84 \in \mathrm{AP}(S, 15)$.

4. Small embedding dimensions

We first recall the following definition: a binomial $x_i^{c_i} - \prod_{j\neq i} x_j^{u_{ij}} \in I_S$ is called *critical* with respect to x_i if c_i is the smallest integer such that $c_i n_i \in \langle n_1, \ldots, \widehat{n_i}, \ldots, n_d\rangle$. The *critical ideal* of S, denoted by C_S, is the ideal of $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ generated by all critical binomials of I_S (cf. [17]).

Recall that a numerical semigroup with Frobenius number $F(S)$, is called *irreducible*, if it cannot be written as the intersection of two numerical semigroups properly containing it. Let S be an irreducible numerical semigroup. Then S is called *symmetric* if $F(S)$ is odd and it is called *pseudo symmetric* if $F(S)$ is even (cf. [8]).

The following classical result by J. Herzog describes the minimal systems of generators of I_S for numerical semigrous S with embedding dimension three:

Theorem 4.1 ([10, Section 3])**.** *Let S be a numerical semigroup of embedding dimension three. Then the following statements hold for some non-negative integers c_{ij}, $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$.*

(1) *If S is symmetric, then after a permutation (i, j, k) of $(1, 2, 3)$, we have*
$$I_S = (x_i^{c_i} - x_j^{c_j}, x_k^{c_k} - x_i^{c_{ki}} x_j^{c_{kj}}).$$
(2) *If S is not symmetric, then*
$$I_S = (x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_{12}} x_3^{c_{13}}, x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{c_{21}} x_3^{c_{23}}, x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{c_{31}} x_2^{c_{32}}),$$
where $c_i = c_{ji} + c_{ki}$ for all permutation (i, j, k) of $(1, 2, 3)$ and $c_{ij} > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let S be a numerical semigroup of embedding dimension three.*

(1) *Let S be symmetric and (i, j, k) be the permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$ such that the statement (1) of Theorem 4.1 holds. Then $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_i)$ and $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_j)$ are homogeneous sets, and $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_k)$ is not homogeneous.*
(2) *If S is not symmetric, then $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_i)$ is homogeneous for all $i = 1, 2, 3$.*

Proof. (1): From Theorem 4.1, we have $I_S = (x_i^{c_i} - x_j^{c_j}, x_k^{c_k} - x_i^{c_{ki}} x_j^{c_{kj}})$. As x_i, x_j belong to the support of all generators of I_S, $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_i)$ and $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_j)$ are homogeneous by Corollary 3.10. Note that $c_i n_i = c_j n_j$. Hence $x_i^{c_i} - x_j^{c_j}$ is not a homogeneous binomial. If $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_k)$ is homogeneous, then $c_i n_i = c_j n_j$ is not in $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_k)$. Therefore
$$c_i n_i = c_j n_j = r_i n_i + r_j n_j + r_k n_k,$$
for some non-negative integers r_i, r_j, r_k. According to the definition of c_i and c_j, we get $r_i = r_j = 0$ and so $r_k n_k \in \langle n_i, n_j\rangle$. Let $r_k = c_k + s_k$. Then
$$c_i n_i = c_j n_j = r_k n_k = c_{ki} n_i + c_{kj} n_j + s_k n_k,$$

which implies that $c_{ki} = c_{kj} = 0$, a contradiction.

(2): By Theorem 4.1, x_i, x_j, x_k belong to the support of all generators of I_S and the result follows from Examples 3.5. □

Remark 4.3. By (2) in the above theorem, we have that if S is not symmetric, S is always homogeneous and so S is of homogeneous type if and only if $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. For instance, this is the case for $S = \langle 3, 5, 7\rangle$, see [24, Table 3.4].

Remark 4.4. In the symmetric case, S is not necessarily homogeneous neither of homogeneous type. For instance, this is what happens for $S = \langle 7, 8, 20\rangle$, see [24, Table 3.4].

We have seen two different classes of numerical semigroups of homogeneous type: homogeneous numerical semigroups with Cohen-Macaulay tangent cones (cf. Theorem 3.17) and numerical semigroups with complete intersection tangent cones (cf. Remark 4.7). Our next result shows that in embedding dimension three, these two (different) classes determine all numerical semigroups of homogeneous type.

Theorem 4.5. *Let S be a numerical semigroup with embedding dimension three. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) *S is of homogeneous type.*
(2) *$\beta_1(S) = \beta_1(G(S))$.*
(3) *$G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, and either S is homogeneous or $(I_S)_*$ is generated by pure powers of x_2 and x_3.*
(4) *Either S is homogeneous with Cohen-Macaulay tangent cone, or $G(S)$ is complete intersection.*

Proof. (1)⇒(2) is clear.

(2)⇒(3): By Theorem 4.1, $\beta_1(S) \leq 3$. Therefore $\beta_1(G(S)) \leq 3$ and so $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay from [24, Proposition 3.3].

Assume that S is not homogeneous. Hence S is symmetric by Theorem 4.2 and $k = 1$ in the statements of Theorem 4.1. Therefore

$$I_S = (f_1 := x_2^{c_2} - x_3^{c_3}, f_2 := x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_{12}} x_3^{c_{13}}).$$

From [29, Corollary 3.2], it follows that $\{f_1, f_2, f_3 := x_2^{c_2+c_{12}} - x_1^{c_1} x_3^{c_3-c_{13}}\}$ is a standard basis for I_S, $(I_S)_* = (x_3^{c_3}, x_2^{c_{12}} x_3^{c_{13}}, (f_3)_*)$ and we may assume that $c_{13} < c_3$. Since $c_{13} < c_3$, we can not remove neither $x_3^{c_3}$ nor $x_2^{c_{12}} x_3^{c_{13}}$ from the set of generators of $(I_S)_*$. On the other hand $\beta_1(S) = \beta_1(G(S)) = 2$. Therefore $(f_3)_* \in (x_3^{c_3}, x_2^{c_{12}} x_3^{c_{13}})$. Note that

$$(f_3)_* = \begin{cases} f_3 & \text{if } c_2 + c_{12} = c_1 + c_3 - c_{13} \\ x_2^{c_2+c_{12}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence $x_2^{c_2+c_{12}} \in (x_3^{c_3}, x_2^{c_{12}} x_3^{c_{13}})$ and consequently $c_{13} = 0$, i.e. $(I_S)_* = (x_3^{c_3}, x_2^{c_{12}})$.

(3)⇒(4): It is clear.

(4)⇒(1): It follows by Theorem 3.17 and Remark 3.16. □

Now, we look at numerical semigroups S with embedding dimension four. We start by observing that, as in the case of embedding dimension 3, S is not necessarily homogeneous neither of homogeneous type. The following example is taken from [5, Remark 3.10]: let $S = \langle 16, 18, 21, 27\rangle$. Then, S is a complete intersection and $G(S)$ is Gorenstein but $G(S)$ is not a complete intersection, hence the minimal number of generators of the corresponding defining ideals are different and so S is not of homogeneous type. Since $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, S cannot be homogeneous neither. In fact, $81 \in \mathrm{AP}(S)$ and $81 = 3 \times 27 = 3 \times 18 + 27$ are two expressions with different size.

Let S with embedding dimension four. By a result of A. Katsabekis and I. Ojeda, one can find a minimal system of generators of I_S with the following special property:

Proposition 4.6 ([17, Proposition 3.9])**.** *Let S be a numerical semigroup of embedding dimension four. Then there exists a minimal system of generators $E = E_1 \cup E_2$ of I_S, where E_1 is minimal set of generators of C_S and E_2 is a set of binomials with full support.*

Theorem 4.7. *Let S be a numerical semigroup with embedding dimension four. Then the following statements are equivalent for any $i = 1, \ldots, 4$.*

(1) $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_i)$ *is homogeneous.*
(2) $\{c_j n_j \mid j \neq i\} \cap \mathrm{AP}(S, n_i)$ *is a homogeneous set.*

Proof. (1)⇒(2): It is clear.

(2)⇒(1): From Corollary 3.10, we only need to find a set of generators for I_S such that all of its non-homogeneous elements have x_i in their support. Let E be the minimal set of generators which exists by Proposition 4.6. We only need to check the property for the elements in E_1. Let $f_j := x_j^{c_j} - x^{\mathbf{b}_j}$ be a non-homogeneous element for some $j \neq i$. Then $c_j n_j \notin \mathrm{AP}(S, n_i)$ and so $c_j = n_i + s$ for some $s \in S$. Now, we can replace f_j with two binomials $x_j^{c_j} - x_i x^{\mathbf{a}}$ and $x_i x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}}$, where $\mathbf{a}$ is a factorization of s. □

Corollary 4.8. *Let S be a numerical semigroup with embedding dimension four. Then The following statements are equivalent.*

(1) *S is homogeneous.*
(2) *$\{c_2 n_2, c_3 n_3, c_4 n_4\} \cap \mathrm{AP}(S, n_1)$ is a homogeneous set.*
(3) *$c_2 n_2$ and $c_4 n_4$ are not in $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_1)$ and, if $c_3 n_3 \in \mathrm{AP}(S, n_1)$, then $\{c_3 n_3\}$ is homogeneous.*

Proof. (1)⇒(2): It is clear since $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_1)$ is homogeneous.

(2)⇒(3): If $c_2 n_2 \in \mathrm{AP}(S, n_1)$, then $c_2 n_2 \in \langle n_3, n_4\rangle$. Therefore $c_2 n_2 = r_3 n_3 + r_4 n_4$ for some non-negative integers r_3, r_4. Since $n_2 < n_3, n_4$, we have $c_2 > r_3 + r_4$, a contradiction. As $n_4 > n_2, n_3$, a similar argument shows that $c_4 n_4$ is not in $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_1)$.

(3) ⇒(1): It follows from Theorem 4.7. □

The following well known result by H. Bresisnky provides the systems of generators for the defining ideals of non-complete intersection symmetric numerical semigroups with embedding dimension four:

Theorem 4.9 (Bresinsky's Theorem [3, Theorem 3])**.** *Let S be a numerical semigroup of embedding dimension four. Then S is symmetric and non-complete intersection if and only if, after permuting variables, if necessary, I_S is generated by the set*

$$G = \{f_1 = x_1^{c_1} - x_3^{c_{13}}x_4^{c_{14}}, f_2 = x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{c_{21}}x_4^{c_{24}}, f_3 = x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{c_{31}}x_2^{c_{32}}, \\ f_4 = x_4^{c_4} - x_2^{c_{42}}x_3^{c_{43}}, f_5 = x_3^{c_{43}}x_1^{c_{21}} - x_2^{c_{32}}x_4^{c_{14}}\},$$

where $0 < c_{ij} < c_j$.

Remark 4.10. Let S be a symmetric numerical semigroup of embedding dimension four. If S is not complete intersection, then the set G given in Theorem 4.9, is the unique minimal system of generators for I_S (cf. [17, Corollary 3.15]).

Proposition 4.11. *Let S be a symmetric and non-complete intersection numerical semigroup with embedding dimension four. Using the notation of Theorem 4.9, the following statements hold.*

(1) $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_1)$ *is homogeneous if and only if f_4 is a homogeneous polynomial.*
(2) *For each $i = 2, 3, 4$, $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_i)$ is homogeneous if and only if f_{i-1} is a homogeneous polynomial.*

Proof. As G is the unique minimal system of generators by Remark 4.10, the critical elements $c_i n_i$ belong to $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_j)$ for $x_j \notin \operatorname{supp}(f_i)$. Now, the result follows from Theorem 4.7. □

Example 4.12. Let $S = \langle 8, 13, 15, 17\rangle$. Then, $I_S = (f_1 = x_1^4 - x_4x_3, f_2 = x_2^3 - x_1x_3, f_3 = x_4^2 - x_1x_2^2, f_4 = x_3^2 - x_2x_4, f_5 = x_2^2x_3 - x_1^3x_4)$ (with order $8, 13, 17, 15$). Since f_4 is homogeneous, by the above proposition S is homogeneous. We also have that S is not Cohen-Macaulay because $15 + 17 = 4 \times 8$, hence S is not of homogeneous type.

The following two families extracted form [8] can be used to produce symmetric numerical semigroups S with embedding dimension four and given multiplicity m, which are not of homogeneous type neither homogeneous:

Lemma 4.13. *Let q be a positive integer.*

(1) *If $m = 2q + 4$, then the numerical semigroup generated by*

$$\{n_1 := m, n_2 := m + 1, n_3 := (q + 1)m - 2, n_4 := (q + 1)m - 1\},$$

is a symmetric numerical semigroup of embedding dimension four and Frobenius number $F(S) = 2qm + 2q + 1$.
(2) *If $m = 2q + 5$. Then the numerical semigroup generated by*

$$\{n_1 := m, n_2 := m + 1, n_3 := (q + 1)m + q + 2, n_4 := (q + 1)m + q + 3\},$$

is a symmetric numerical semigroup of embedding dimension four, with Frobenius number $F(S) = 2(q + 1)m - 1$.

Proof. (1) follows from [8, Lemma 4.22] and (2) is the subject of [8, Lemma 4.23]. □

Proposition 4.14. *Let S be a symmetric numerical semigroup of embedding dimension four, with one of structures of Lemma 4.13. Then S is not of homogeneous type and $\mathrm{AP}(S,n_i)$ is not homogeneous for all $i=1,\dots,4$.*

Proof. In the case $m=2q+4$, we show that I_S is generated by

$$G_1 := \{x_1^{q+2}-x_2x_4, x_4^2-x_1^{q+1}x_3, x_2^{2q+1}-x_3x_4, x_3^2-x_1x_2^{2q}, x_1x_4-x_2x_3\}.$$

First, we check that $G_1 \subseteq I_S$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(q+2)n_1 &= (q+2)m = (q+1)m+m+1-1 = n_2+n_4,\\
(2q+1)n_2 &= (2q+1)(m+1) = (2q+1)m+2q+1 = 2qm+m+2q+1\\
&= 2qm+m+m-3 = 2(q+1)m-3 = n_3+n_4,\\
2n_3 &= 2(q+1)m-4 = 2qm+2m-4 = 2qm+m+2q = m+2q(m+1)\\
&= n_1+2qn_2,\\
2n_4 &= 2(q+1)m-2 = (q+1)m+(q+1)m-2 = (q+1)n_1+n_3,\\
n_1+n_4 &= m+(q+1)m+q+3 = (q+1)m+q+2+(m+1) = n_3+n_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $c_3=c_4=2$. From the proof of [8, Lemma 4.22], $n_3+n_4=(2q+1)n_2 \in \mathrm{AP}(S,n_1)$. Therefore $c_2=2q+1$. Note that $n_2+n_4-n_3=m+2\notin S$. So that $(q+1)n_1=n_2+n_4$ has unique expression, in particular $c_1=q+1$. As the last relation $x_1x_4-x_2x_3$ is not generated by the others, I_S has more than 4 generators and so S is not complete intersection. Now, Theorem 4.9 implies that G_1 is the minimal generating set of I_S. Note that none of the critical binomials in G_1 are homogeneous, so it follows that $\mathrm{AP}(S,n_i)$ is not homogeneous for all $i=1,\dots,4$, by Theorem 4.7. Now, $f := x_2^{2q+2}-x_3x_1^{q+2} = x_2(x_2^{2q+1}-x_3x_4)-x_3(x_1^{q+2}-x_2x_4) \in I_S$, where $2q+2 \geq q+3$. Hence $f_* = x_3x_1^{q+2}$ is not generated by the elements of $(G_1)_* = \{x_2x_4, x_4^2, x_3x_4, x_3^2, x_1x_4-x_2x_3\}$. Therefore G_1 is not a standard basis and so $\beta_1(G(S)) > \beta_1(S)$.

In the second case $m=2q+5$, we show with a similar argument that

$$G_2 := \{x_1^{2q+3}-x_3x_4, x_2^{q+2}-x_1x_3, x_3^2-x_2^{q+1}x_4, x_4^2-x_2x_1^{2q+2}, x_1x_4-x_2x_3\},$$

is the minimal set of generators of I_S. Since all critical binomials in G_2 are non-homogeneous, $\mathrm{AP}(S,n_i)$ is not homogeneous for all $i=1,\dots,4$, by Theorem 4.7. Finally, the relation $x_2^{q+2}-x_1x_3$ implies that n_3 is a torsion element and $G(S)$ is not Cohen-Macaulay. In particular, S is not of homogeneous type. □

For the pseudo symmetric case, we get the families of generators of the defining ideal I_S from the following result by J. Komeda:

Theorem 4.15 (Komeda's Theorem [18, Theorem 6.4])**.** *Let S be a pseudo symmetric numerical semigroup of embedding dimension four. After permuting variables, if necessary, the ideal I_S is generated by*

$$\begin{aligned}
G = \ & \{f_1 = x_1^{c_1}-x_3x_4^{c_4-1}, f_2 = x_2^{c_2}-x_1^{c_{21}}x_4, f_3 = x_3^{c_3}-x_1^{c_1-c_{21}-1}x_2,\\
& f_4 = x_4^{c_4}-x_1x_2^{c_2-1}x_3^{c_3-1}, f_5 = x_3^{c_3-1}x_1^{c_{21}+1}-x_2x_4^{c_4-1}\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $0<c_{21}<c_1$.

Proposition 4.16. *Let S be a pseudo symmetric numerical semigroup of embedding dimension four. Using the notation of Komeda's Theorem, we have*

(1) $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_1)$ *is a homogeneous set.*
(2) *For $i = 2, 3, 4$, $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_i)$ is homogeneous if and only if $\{c_{i-1}n_{i-1}\} \cap \mathrm{AP}(S, n_i)$ is a homogeneous set.*
(3) *For each $i = 2, 3, 4$, if f_{i-1} is a homogeneous polynomial, then $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_i)$ is a homogeneous set. The converse holds if G is the only set of minimal generators for I_S.*

Proof. (1): Since $x_1 \in \mathrm{supp}\,(f_i)$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$, it follows by Corollary 3.10.

(2): Since $x_i \in \mathrm{supp}\,(f_j)$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$, $j \neq i - 1$, we have $c_i n_i \notin \mathrm{AP}(S, n_j)$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$, $j \neq i - 1$. Now the result follows by Corollary 4.8.

(3): If f_{i-1} is homogeneous, then $\mathrm{AP}(S, n_i)$ is homogeneous by the statement (2). If f_j is uniquely determined for $j = 1, \ldots, 4$, then $c_{i-1}n_{i-1} \in \mathrm{AP}(S, n_i)$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$ and the result follows from the statement (2). □

Similarly to the symmetric case, we have the following families of pseudo symmetric numerical semigroups with embedding dimension four and given multiplicity m:

Lemma 4.17. *Let q be a positive integer.*

(1) *If $m = 2q + 5$, then the numerical semigroup generated by*

$$\{n_1 := m, n_2 := m + 1, n_3 := (q + 1)m + q + 2, n_4 := (q + 1)m + m - 1\},$$

is a pseudo symmetric numerical semigroup of embedding dimension four and Frobenius number $F(S) = 2(q + 1)m - 2$.
(2) *If $m = 2q + 4$. Then the numerical semigroup generated by*

$$\{n_1 := m, n_2 := m + 1, n_3 := qm + 2q + 3, n_4 := (q + 1)m + q + 2\},$$

is a pseudo symmetric numerical semigroup of embedding dimension four, with Frobenius number $F(S) = 2qm + 2q + 2$.

Proof. (1) follows from [8, Lemma 4.29] and (2) is the subject of [8, Lemma 4.30]. □

Example 4.18. Let $S = \langle 7, 8, 17, 20\rangle$. It is pseudo symmetric from Lemma 4.17(1), considering $q = 1$. The defining ideal I_S is generated by $\{x_1^4 - x_2x_4, x_2^3 - x_1x_3, x_3^2 - x_1^2x_4, x_4^2 - x_1x_2^2x_3, x_1^3x_2^2 - x_3x_4\}$, so that S is homogeneous by Proposition 4.16. Note that $G(S)$ is not Cohen-Macaulay because of $7 + 17 = 3 \times 8$ hence S is not of homogeneous type too.

Example 4.19. Let $S = \langle 6, 7, 11, 15\rangle$. Then S is pseudo symmetric from Lemma 4.17(2), considering $q = 1$. The defining ideal I_S is generated by $\{x_1^3 - x_2x_3, x_2^3 - x_1x_4, x_3^2 - x_2x_4, x_1^2x_2^2 - x_3x_4, x_1^2x_2x_3 - x_4^2\}$. So this ordering $6, 7, 11, 15$ is not the permutation that gives the generators in Theorem 4.16, but S is homogeneous by Corollary 3.10. As $6 + 15 = 3 \times 7$, $G(S)$ is not Cohen-Macaulay and so S is not of homogeneous type.

Example 4.20. Let $S = \langle 8, 9, 23, 28\rangle$. S is pseudo symmetric from Lemma 4.17(2), considering $q = 2$. The defining ideal I_S is generated by $\{f_1 = x_1^4 - x_2x_3, f_2 = x_2^4 - x_1x_4, f_3 = x_3^2 - x_2^2x_4, f_4 = x_4^2 - x_1^3x_2x_3, f_5 = x_1^3x_2^3 - x_3x_4\}$. The non-homogeneous binomial f_3 comes from the relation $2n_3 = 2n_2 + n_4 = 46 \in \mathrm{AP}(S, 8)$. Hence S is not homogeneous. Note that $G(S)$ is not Cohen-Macaulay because of relation f_2 and so S is not of homogeneous type. Now, considering the permutation $9, 23, 8, 28$, we get $G = \{x_1^4 - x_3x_4, x_2^2 - x_1^2x_4, x_3^4 - x_1x_2, x_4^2 - x_1x_2x_3^3, x_1^3x_3^3 - x_2x_4\}$, as in Theorem 4.15 and so $\mathrm{AP}(S, 9)$ is homogeneous by Proposition 4.16.

These examples can be extended in the following way:

Proposition 4.21. *Let S be a pseudo symmetric numerical semigroup of embedding dimension four, with the structure of Lemma 4.17. If $m = 2q+5$, then S is homogeneous. If $m = 2q+4$ and $q > 1$, then S is not homogeneous and $G(S)$ is not Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Let $m = 2q + 5$, then $2n_3 = 2(q + 1)m + 2q + 4 > 2(q + 1)m + 2q + 3 = 2(q + 1)m - 2 + m = F(S) + m$. Therefore $2n_4 > n_3 + n_4 > 2n_3 > F(S) + m$ and so $2n_4, n_3 + n_4, 2n_3$ are not in $\mathrm{AP}(S, m)$. This implies that $\{c_3n_3, c_4n_4\} \cap \mathrm{AP}(S, m)$ is empty or has elements of order one and so it is a homogeneous set. If $c_2n_2 \in \mathrm{AP}(S, m)$ and $c_2n_2 = r_3n_3 + r_4n_4$, as $n_3 + n_4 \notin \mathrm{AP}(S, m)$ we should have $r_3 = 0$ or $r_4 = 0$. Then $r_3, r_4 \leq 1$ which is not possible. Hence $\mathrm{AP}(S, m)$ is homogeneous by Corollary 4.8.

Now, let $m = 2q+4$. Then $2n_3-n_1 = 2(qm+2q+3)-m = 2(qm+2q+3)-2q-4 = 2qm + 2q + 2 = F(S) \notin S$. Hence $2n_3 \in \mathrm{AP}(S, n_1)$. On the other hand, as in the proof of [8, Lemma 4.30], the only elements of order two in $AP(S, m)$ belong to $\{2n_2, n_2+n_4\}$. If $2n_3$ is homogeneous, then $2n_3 = n_2+n_4$. Hence $2qm+4q+6 = m+1+(q+1)m+q+2$, which implies that $2m = qm + 3q + 3$, a contradiction since $q > 1$.

For the last statement, note that $n_4 + n_1 = (q + 1)m + q + 2 + m = (q + 2)(m + 1) = (q + 2)n_2$ and so n_4 is a torsion element of S. □

In embedding dimension three, we have classified all numerical semigroups of homogeneous type in to numerical semigroups with complete intersection tangent cones and the homogeneous ones with Cohen-Macaulay tangent cones (cf. Theorem 4.5). On other hand, all four generated numerical semigroups of homogeneous type that we have discussed in this section are homogeneous. So it is natural to look for a similar classification in larger embedding dimensions:

Question 4.22. Is there any numerical semigroup S with embedding dimension $d > 3$ such that S is of homogeneous type, but it is not homogeneous and its tangent cone is not complete intersection?

In the following remark we discuss a class of rings that are of homogeneous type. Concluding that numerical semigroups in this class are homogeneous, supports a negative answer to the above question.

Remark 4.23. An Artinian local ring $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is *stretched*, in the sense of [27], if and only if $\mathfrak{m}^2$ is principal. From [26, Example 3.5], if A is stretched and its Cohen-Macaulay

type is equal to $\mu(\mathfrak{m})-1$, where $\mu(\mathfrak{m})$ is the embedding dimension of A, then A is of homogeneous type.

Let S be a numerical semigroup such that $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay and let $A=\Bbbk[\![S]\!]/(x_1)$. With similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.17, S is of homogeneous type if and only if A is of homogeneous type.

Now, if this is the case, then $\mathrm{AP}(S,n_1)$ has only one element of order two, say $w=n_{i_1}+n_{i_2}$. Let $s=\sum_{i=2}^{d}r_in_i\in\mathrm{AP}(S,n_1)$ with $\mathrm{ord}_S(s)=\sum_{i=2}^{d}r_i>2$. As any subexpression of s is again in the Apéry set, any maximal subexpression of s with length 2 should be equal to w. In particular $n_{i_1}=n_{i_2}$ and $s=ln_{i_1}$, where $l=\mathrm{ord}_S(s)$. Therefore $\{w\in\mathrm{AP}(S,n_1);\mathrm{ord}_S(w)\geq 2\}$ has only one maximal element with respect to $\preceq$. On the other hand, the Cohen-Macaulay type of S which is exactly the number of maximal elements of $\mathrm{AP}(S,n_1)$, is equal to $d-1$. Hence $\mathrm{Max}_{\preceq}\mathrm{AP}(S,n_1)=\{ln_{i_1},n_2,\ldots,n_d\}\setminus\{n_{i_1}\}$, where l is the maximal order of elements of the Apéry set. In particular, $n_j+n_i\notin\mathrm{AP}(S,n_1)$ for all $j\in\{1,\ldots,d\}\setminus\{i_1\}$ and $1\leq i\leq d$. Hence all expressions of tn_{i_1} have the same order for all $1\leq t\leq l$, consequently S is homogeneous.

It is clear that all numerical semigroups of almost maximal embedding dimension (cf. Example 3.3) fulfill the conditions above. Let $S=\langle 10,11,14,15,16,17,18,19\rangle$. Then $\mathrm{AP}(S,10)=\{0,11,22,33,14,15,16,17,18,19\}$ has only one element of order two and is not of almost maximal embedding dimension.

5. Gluing of homogeneous semigroups

Throughout this section S_1 and S_2 are two numerical semigroups generated by $m_1<\cdots<m_d$ and $n_1<\cdots<n_k$, respectively. Let $p\in S_1$ and $q\in S_2$ be two positive integers satisfying $\gcd(p,q)=1$ with $p\notin\{m_1,\ldots,m_d\}$ and $q\notin\{n_1,\ldots,n_k\}$. The numerical semigroup

$$S=<qm_1,\ldots,qm_d,pn_1,\ldots,pn_k>$$

is called a *gluing* of S_1 and S_2 (cf. [25]). An *extension* of S_1, is a gluing S with $S_2=\mathbb{N}$ (cf. [2]).

In the rest of this section, S will denote the above gluing of S_1 and S_2.

Definition 5.1. Let S be a gluing of S_1 and S_2.

(1) S is called nice gluing, if $q=an_1$ for some $1<a\leq\mathrm{ord}_{S_1}(p)$ (cf. [1]).
(2) S is called specific gluing, if $\mathrm{ord}_{S_2}(q)+l_q(S_2)\leq\mathrm{ord}_{S_1}(p)$, where $l_q(S_2)=\max\{\mathrm{ord}_{S_2}(s+q)-\mathrm{ord}_{S_2}(q)-\mathrm{ord}_{S_2}(s);s\in S_2\}$ (cf. [15]).

Remark 5.2. It is easy to see from the definition that the set

$$\{qm_1,\ldots,qm_d,pn_1,\ldots,pn_k\}$$

is a minimal system of generators of S and $m(S)=\min\{qm_1,pn_1\}$. If S is a nice gluing of S_1 and S_2, then $qm_1=an_1m_1\leq\mathrm{ord}_{S_1}(p)n_1m_1\leq pn_1$ and so $m(S)=qm_1$. This is also the case when S is a specific gluing, from [15, Corollary 3.14].

Remark 5.3. We consider I_{S_1} as an ideal of $\Bbbk[x_1,\ldots,x_d]$ and I_{S_2} an ideal of $\Bbbk[y_1,\ldots,y_k]$. Then $I_S=I_{S_1}+I_{S_2}+\langle x^{\mathbf{p}}-y^{\mathbf{q}}\rangle$ is an ideal of $\Bbbk[x_1,\ldots,x_d,y_1,\ldots,y_k]$, where $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$ are, respectively, some factorizations of p and q (cf. [25, Theorem 1.4]).

Proposition 5.4. *The following statements hold for all $s \in S_1$.*

(1) $\mathrm{AP}(S, qs) = \{qz_1 + pz_2 \ ; \ z_1 \in \mathrm{AP}(S_1, s), z_2 \in \mathrm{AP}(S_2, q)\}$.
(2) *If $qz_1 + pz_2 \in \mathrm{AP}(S, qs)$, then $z_1 \in \mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)$.*
(3) *If $p \notin \mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)$, then for all $z \in \mathrm{AP}(S, qs)$ there exist unique $z_1 \in S_1$ and $z_2 \in S_2$ such that $z = qz_1 + pz_2$.*
(4) *If $qz_1 + pz_2 \in \mathrm{AP}(S, qs)$ and $p \notin \mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)$, then $z_2 \in \mathrm{AP}(S_2, q)$.*

Proof. The statements (1) and (2) are the subject of [15, Proposition 3.8]. For the proof of (3), note that $z = qz_1 + pz_2$ for some $z_1 \in \mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)$ and $z_2 \,\mathrm{AP}(S_2, q)$, by (1). If there exist another $z_1' \in S_1$ and $z_2' \in S_2$ with $z = qz_1' + pz_2'$, then $z_1 = z_1' + \alpha p$ for some integer α, as $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. On the other hand, z_1' and z_1 belong to $\mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)$ by (2). Hence $\alpha = 0$ and so $z_1 = z_1'$ and so $z_2' = z_2$.

The statement (4) follows by (1) and (3). □

Corollary 5.5. *If $\mathrm{AP}(S, qs)$ is homogeneous for some $s \in S_1$, then $\mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)$ is a homogeneous set. Moreover, if $p \notin \mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)$, then $\mathrm{AP}(S_2, q)$ is also homogeneous.*

The following example shows that the gluing of two homogeneous numerical semigroup is not necessarily homogeneous.

Example 5.6. Let $S := \langle 15, 21, 28\rangle$. Then S is an extension of $S_1 = \langle 5, 7\rangle$ with $q = 3$ and $p = 28$, but S is not homogeneous from Example 3.19.

The following result is the key for our study of the homogeneity of a gluing:

Theorem 5.7. *Let S be a gluing of S_1 and S_2, $s \in S$ and $n = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}; np \notin \mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)\}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) *$\mathrm{AP}(S, qs)$ is homogeneous.*
(2) *$\mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)$ and $\mathrm{AP}(S_2, nq)$ are homogeneous, and if $n > 1$, then $\mathrm{ord}_{S_1}(p) = \mathrm{ord}_{S_2}(q)$.*

Proof. (1)⇒(2): If $\mathrm{AP}(S, qs)$ is homogeneous, then $\mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)$ is homogeneous by Corollary 5.5. Let $y \in \mathrm{AP}(S_2, nq)$ with two expressions with different lengths. Then $py \notin \mathrm{AP}(S, qs)$ and so $py = qz_1 + pz_2$ such that $z_1 \notin \mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)$ and $z_2 \in S_2$. As $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, we have $z_1 = \alpha p$ and $y = z_2 + \alpha q$ for some integer $\alpha \geq 0$. Note that $\alpha \geq n$, since $\alpha p = z_1 \notin \mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)$. Hence $y = z_2 + \alpha q \notin \mathrm{AP}(S_2, nq)$, a contradiction.

Now, assume that $\mathrm{ord}_{S_1}(p) \neq \mathrm{ord}_{S_2}(q)$. Then $\{qp\}$ is not a homogeneous set of S and so $qp \notin \mathrm{AP}(S, qs)$. Let $qp = qz_1 + pz_2$ for some $z_1 \notin \mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)$ and $z_2 \in S_2$, which implies that $z_1 = ap$ and $z_2 = bq$ for some non-negative integers a and b. Hence $qp = (a + b)qp$ and so $a + b = 1$. Since $z_1 \notin \mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)$, we get $a = 1$ and $b = 0$. Therefore $p = z_1$ is not in $\mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)$ i.e. $n = 1$.

(2)⇒(1): Let $\mathbf{p}$, $\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{a}$ be factorizations of p, q and s, respectively and let E_1 and E_2 be minimal generating sets for I_{S_1} and I_{S_2}, respectively, as the ones in Proposition 3.9(2). Now, $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \{x^{\mathbf{p}} - y^{\mathbf{q}}\}$ is a generating set for I_S by Remark 5.3. Note that one term of each non-homogeneous binomial $f \in E_1$ is divided by $x^{\mathbf{a}}$, and

any non-homogeneous binomial $g = y^{\mathbf{c}} - y^{\mathbf{b}} \in E_2$ has one term divided $y^{n\mathbf{q}}$. Assume that $y^{\mathbf{c}} = y^{n\mathbf{q}}y^{\mathbf{d}}$. Then $h := x^{np}y^{\mathbf{d}} - y^{\mathbf{b}} \in I_S$ and g is generated by h and $x^{\mathbf{p}} - y^{\mathbf{q}}$. Therefore replacing g by h, we get again a generating set for I_S. Continuing in this way, we get a generating set for I_S which satisfies the property of Proposition 3.9(2), since $np \notin \mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)$. Note that, if $x^{\mathbf{p}} - y^{\mathbf{q}}$ is non-homogeneous, then $n = 1$ which means that $p \notin \mathrm{AP}(S_1, s)$. □

As a consequence we obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions for an extension of a homogeneous numerical semigroup to be homogeneous:

Corollary 5.8. *Let S_1 be a homogeneous numerical semigroup and $S_2 = \mathbb{N}$. Then S is homogeneous if and only if one of the following conditions hold.*

(1) $q = \mathrm{ord}_{S_1}(p)$.
(2) $p \notin \mathrm{AP}(S_1, m_1)$.

Corollary 5.9. *Let S_1 be a homogeneous numerical semigroup with Cohen-Macaulay tangent cone and $S_2 = \mathbb{N}$. For each positive integer q, if $p \in S_1 \setminus \mathrm{AP}(S_1, m_1)$ with $\mathrm{ord}_{S_1}(p) \geq q$, then S is of homogeneous type.*

Proof. Note that S is a specific extension of S_1 and so $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay by [15, Theorem 3.16]. On the other hand S is homogeneous by Corollary 5.8. Now, Theorem 3.17 implies the result. □

Now we want to show how to construct systematically non homogeneous numerical semigroups whose tangent cones are complete intersection.

Lemma 5.10. *Let $S = \langle qm_1, \ldots, qm, pn_1, \ldots, pn_k\rangle$ be a nice gluing of S_1 and S_2. Let G_1 and G_2 be Gröbner basis of I_{S_1} and I_{S_2} with respect to $<_{ds}$, respectively. If $G(S_1)$ and $G(S_2)$ are Cohen-Macaulay, then $G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \{x^{\mathbf{p}} - y_1^a\}$ is a Gröbner basis of I_S, for any factorization $\mathbf{p}$ of p. More over, if G_1 and G_2 are reduced Gröbner basis, then there exists a factorization $\mathbf{p}$ of p, such that G is the reduced Gröbner basis.*

Proof. $B = \{f_1, \ldots, f_r, g_1, \ldots, g_s, h_1, \ldots, h_t\}$ be the reduced Gröbner basis of I_S, where $\mathrm{supp}\,(f_i) \subseteq \{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$, $\mathrm{supp}\,(g_i) \subseteq \{y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$. From the proof of [1, Theorem 2.6], G is a Gröbner basis of I_S with respect to $<_{ds}$. Since G_1 and G_2 are reduced and B is unique, we have $G_1 = \{f_1, \ldots, f_r\}$ and $G_2 = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$. Hence $t = 1$ and $\mathrm{lm}_{<_{ds}}(h_1) = y_1^a$. □

Lemma 5.11. *Let S_1 be a numerical semigroup of embedding dimension two and multiplicity $m(S_1) > 3$. Then there exists an extension of S_1 with complete intersection tangent cone which is not homogeneous.*

Proof. Let $S_1 = \langle m_1, m_2\rangle$. Then $\mathrm{AP}(S_1, m_1) = \{m_2, \ldots, (m_1 - 1)m_2\}$. Let $p = (m_1 - 1)m_2$ and $q = 2$. Then $\mathrm{ord}_{S_1} p = m_1 - 1 > 2 = q$. Hence $S = \langle qm_1, qm_2, p\rangle$ is not homogeneous by Corollary 5.8. Note that $G_1 = \{x_1^{m_2} - x_2^{m_1}\}$ is a Gröbner basis for I_{S_1}. Therefore $G = \{x_1^{m_2} - x_2^{m_1}, x^{\mathbf{p}} - y^{m_1-1}\}$ is a Gröbner basis for I_S by Lemma 5.10 and so $G(S)$ is complete intersection. □

Proposition 5.12. *Let $d \geq 3$ be an integer. Then there exist infinitely many numerical semigroup with complete intersection tangent cones of embedding dimension d, which are not homogeneous.*

Proof. For $d = 3$, the result is clear by Lemma 5.11. We proceed by induction on d. Let $S_1 = \langle m_1 < \cdots < m_{d-1} \rangle$ be a numerical semigroup of embedding dimension $d-1$, with complete intersection tangent cone which is not homogeneous. Let $q = 2$ and $p \in S_1$ such that $\mathrm{ord}_{S_1}(p) \geq 2$ and $\gcd(q, p) = 1$. Then the extension $S := \langle qm_1, \ldots, q_{d-1}m_{d-1}, p \rangle$ is a nice extension of S. Since S_1 is not homogeneous, S is not homogeneous by Theorem 5.7. On the other hand, if $G := \{f_1, \ldots, f_{d-2}\}$ is a Gröbner basis with respect to $<_{ds}$, for $I(S_1)$, then $G \cup \{x^{\mathbf{p}} - y^{\mathbf{q}}\}$ is a Gröbner basis with respect to $<_{ds}$, for $I(S')$ by Lemma 5.10. Therefore $G(S')$ is complete intersection. □

As a consequence we get:

Corollary 5.13. *Let $d \geq 3$. Then there exist infinitely many numerical semigroups with embedding dimension d, which are of homogeneous type but they are not homogeneous.*

6. Shifted family of semigroups

Let $\mathbf{n} : n_1 < \cdots < n_d$ be a sequence of positive integers. For any non-negative integer j, we consider the shifted family

$$\mathbf{n} + j : n_1 + j, \ldots, n_d + j.$$

and the semigroup

$$S + j := \langle n_1 + j, \ldots, n_d + j \rangle,$$

that we call the j-th shifting of S.

Remark 6.1. If the semigroup S generated by $\mathbf{n}$ is a numerical semigroup, it may happen that $S + j$ is not anymore a numerical semigroup. For instance, let $S = \langle 4, 7 \rangle$. Then $S + 2 = \langle 6, 9 \rangle$. Also, it may happen that $\mathbf{n}$ is a minimal system of generators of S but the shifted family is not anymore a minimal system of generators of $S + j$. For instance, $S = \langle 4, 10, 12 \rangle$ and $S + 2 = \langle 6, 12, 14 \rangle = \langle 6, 14 \rangle$.

Lemma 6.2. *If S is the numerical semigroup minimally generated by $\mathbf{n}$, then $S + j$ is minimally generated by $\mathbf{n} + j$ for all $j > n_d - 2n_1$.*

Proof. Assume that $n_r + j = \sum_{i=1}^{d} s_i(n_i + j)$ for some non-negative integers s_i. Let $a = \sum_{i=1}^{d} s_i$. If $a \geq 2$, then

$$n_r + j \geq a(n_1 + j) \geq 2n_1 + 2j > n_d + j.$$

Hence $n_r > n_d$, a contradiction. □

We will use the following notation in the sequel:

- $m_i := n_d - n_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$;
- $g := \gcd(m_1, \ldots, m_{d-1})$;
- $T := \langle \frac{m_1}{g}, \ldots, \frac{m_{d-1}}{g} \rangle$;

- $L := m_1m_2\left(\frac{gc+dm_1}{m_{d-1}}+d\right)-n_d$, where c is the conductor of T.

Let $B := \sum_{i=1}^d m_i + d + g$ and $N := \max\{m_1(d+\operatorname{reg}(J(\mathbf{n}))), m_1m_2\left(\frac{gc+m_1}{m_{d-1}}+B\right)\}$, where $J(\mathbf{n})$ is the ideal generated by all homogeneous elements of I_S. It is shown by Vu, in [32, Corollary 3.6], that for any $j > N$, for inhomogeneous prime binomials $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}}$ of I_S, x_1 divides $x^{\mathbf{a}}$ where $|\mathbf{a}| > |\mathbf{b}|$. Using this fact, Herzog-Stamate [14, Theorem 1.4] show that $S + j$ is of homogeneous type, in particular Cohen-Macaulay, for all $j > N$. In the following result, we improve this bound N by L, which only depends on the initial data of the family $\mathbf{a}$, using ideas inspired by [32].

Theorem 6.3. *Let $j > L$ and $s \in S + j$. If $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' \in \mathcal{F}(s)$ with $|\mathbf{a}| > |\mathbf{a}'|$, then there exists $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{F}(s)$ such that $|\mathbf{b}| = |\mathbf{a}|$ and $b_1 \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let $l := |\mathbf{a}|$ and $l' := |\mathbf{a}'|$. Then

$$l(n_d + j) - s = l(n_d + j) - \sum_{i=1}^d a_i(n_i + j) = l(n_d + j) - \sum_{i=1}^d a'_i(n_i + j).$$

Hence we have $\sum_{i=1}^d a_i(n_d - n_i) = \sum_{i=1}^d a'_i(n_d - n_i) + (l - l')(n_d + j)$, equivalently

$$\sum_{i=1}^d a_i m_i = \sum_{i=1}^d a'_i m_i + (l - l')(n_d + j). \tag{2}$$

Let $x := \sum_{i=1}^d a_i m_i$ and $\mathbf{c}$ be a factorization of x with $|\mathbf{c}| = l$, in the semigroup $\langle m_1, \ldots, m_{d-1}\rangle$, which has maximum support between all factorizations of x with total order l. We show arguing by contradiction that $c_1 \neq 0$. If $c_1 = 0$, then $x \leq lm_2$ and so

$$x/m_2 \leq l. \tag{3}$$

Let $F := \{i, c_i \neq 0\}$ and $y := x - \sum_{i\in F} m_i$. Then

$$y \geq x - dm_1 > n_d + j - dm_1 > gc,$$

the second inequality holds by (2) and the last one follows because $n_d + j > L > gc + dm_1$. As $y > gc$, we may write $y = tm_1 + v$ for some integers $t \geq 0$ and $gc \leq v < gc+m_1$. Note that both y and m_1 are divided by g and so $g|v$. Since $v/g \geq c$, $v/g \in T$ and so $v/g = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} w_i(m_i/g)$ for some $w_i \geq 0$. Hence

$$y = tm_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} w_i m_i.$$

As $v < gc + m_1$ and $v = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} w_i m_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} w_i m_{d-1}$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} w_i < \frac{gc + m_1}{m_{d-1}}. \tag{4}$$

Note that $n_d + j > n_d + L = m_1m_2\left(\frac{gc+m_1}{m_{d-1}}+d\right)$, therefore

$$\frac{n_d + j}{m_1m_2} > \frac{gc + m_1}{m_{d-1}} + d. \tag{5}$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} w_i + d + t &< \tfrac{gc+m_1}{m_{d-1}} + d + t, \text{ from (4)}\\ &< \tfrac{gc+m_1}{m_{d-1}} + d + y/m_1\\ &< \tfrac{n_d+j}{m_1m_2} + y/m_1, \text{ from (5)}\\ &< \tfrac{x}{m_1m_2} + \tfrac{x}{m_1} = \tfrac{x(m_2+1)}{m_1m_2} < x/m_2 \leq l.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} m_i + gc + m_1 < n_d + j \leq x$. Therefore we may write $x = \sum_{i=1}^{d} z_i m_i$, where $z_1 = t + w_1$, $z_i = \delta_{i,F} + w_i$ for $i = 2, \ldots, d-1$ and $z_d = l - (\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} w_i + t + |F|)$. Now, $\mathbf{z}$ is a factorization of x with larger support than $\mathbf{c}$, a contradiction. Therefore $c_1 \neq 0$. Note that $s = l(n_d + j) - x = \sum_{i=1}^{d} c_i n_i$. Hence we can take $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c}$ and the result follows. □

Corollary 6.4. *If $j > L$, then $S + j$ is homogeneous and $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. It follows by Proposition 3.12(2). □

Corollary 6.5. [14] *If $j > L$, then $S + j$ is of homogeneous type.*

Proof. It follows by Corollary 6.4 and Proposition 6.3. □

Definition 6.6. Given a sequence of positive integers $\mathbf{s} : s_0 = 0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_{d-1}$, $d \geq 2$, we say that $\mathbf{n}$ is of *shifting type* $\mathbf{s}$ if $s_i = n_d - n_{d-i}$, for all $1 \leq \cdots \leq d-1$. We also say that the semigroup $S = \langle n_1, \ldots, n_d \rangle$ is of shifting type $\mathbf{s}$.

Remark 6.7. Note that $n_{d-i} = s_{d-1} - s_i + n_1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, d$, hence the sequence $\mathbf{n}$ is uniquely determined by n_1 and its shifting type.

Remark 6.8. The shifting type is invariant under shifting and two sequences of d positive integers are shifted one form the other if and only if they have the same shifting type.

Note that sequence of integers

$$\mathbf{n} : n_1 = 1, n_2 = s_{d-1} - s_{d-2} + 1, \ldots, n_d = s_{d-1} - s_0 + 1 = s_{d-1} + 1$$

is the one with shifting type $\mathbf{s}$ and smallest possible n_1.

Now, for a given sequence $\mathbf{s}$, let:

- $g := \gcd(s_1, \ldots, s_{d-1})$;
- $T := \langle \frac{s_1}{g}, \ldots, \frac{s_{d-1}}{g} \rangle$;
- $L := s_{d-1}s_{d-2}\left(\frac{gc+ds_{d-1}}{s_1} + d\right) - s_{d-1} - 1$, where c is the conductor of T.

We may reformulate the above results in the following way:

Proposition 6.9. *Given a sequence of positive integers $\mathbf{s} : s_0 = 0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_{d-1}$, for any $e > L$ all the numerical semigroups $S = \langle n_1, \ldots, n_d \rangle$ with $n_1 = e$ and shifting type $\mathbf{s}$ are homogeneous and $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

By using the notation in [14], we define the *width* of a numerical semigroup S as the difference between the largest and the smallest generator in a minimal set of generators of S, and denote this number as $\mathrm{wd}(S)$. It is clear that for a given positive integer $w \geq 2$, there is only a finite number of possible sequences $\mathbf{s}$ for the shifting type of the numerical semigroups whose width is bounded by w. So we finally conclude that:

Proposition 6.10. *Let $w \geq 2$. Then, there exists a positive integer W such that all the numerical semigroups S with $wd(S) \leq w$ and muiltiplicity $e \geq W$, are homogeneous and $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Example 6.11. Let $a < b$ be positive integers. Then $S_k = \langle k, k+a, k+b\rangle$ is a numerical semigroup with shifting type $s_1 = b-a, s_2 = b$, for any $k > 0$ and $L = b(b-a)(\frac{gc+3b}{b-a}+3) - b - 1 = 3b(2b-a) - 1 + b(gc-1)$.

Remark 6.12. For numerical semigroups $S_k = \langle k, k+a, k+b\rangle$ of embedding dimension three, the given bound by Vu [32], is improved by Stamate in [31, Theorem 3.5] showing that the Betti numbers of S_k are periodic in k, for $k > k_{a,b} = \max\{b(\frac{b-a}{g}-1), b\frac{a}{g}\}$. Moreover, S_k is of homogeneous type for $k > k_{a,b}$. As the above example shows, in embedding dimension three, $k_{a,b}$ is a better bound.

References

1. F. Arslan, P. Mete, and M. Şahin, Gluing and Hilbert functions of monomial curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), 2225–2232. 6, 18, 20
2. F. Arslan and P. Mete, Hilbert functions of Gorenestein monomial curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), 1993–2002. 18
3. H. Bresinsky, Symmetric semigroups of integers generated by four elements, Manuscripta Math. **17** (1975), 205–219. 14
4. T. Cortadellas Benítez, R. Jafari, and S. Zarzuela Armengou, On the Apéry sets of monomial curves, Semigroup Forum **86** (2013), 289–320. 5
5. M. D'Anna, V. Micale, and A. Sammartano, When the associated graded ring of a semigroup ring is a Complete Intersection, J. Pure and Appl. Agebra **217** (2013), 1007–1017. 13
6. M. Delgado, P . A. García-Sánchez, and J. Morais, NumericalSgps, a GAP package for numerical semigroups, 1.0.1 (2015). http://www.gap-system.org/Packages/numericalsgps.html. 3
7. A. Garcia, Cohen-Macaulayness of the associated graded of a semigroup ring, Comm. Algebra **10** (1982), 393–415. 5
8. P. A. García-Sánchez and J. C. Rosales, Numerical Semigroups, Developments in Mathematics, 20. Springer, New York, 2009. 3, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17
9. P. Gimenez, I. Senegupta, and H. Srinivasan, Minimal graded free resolutions for monomial curves defined by arithmetic sequences, J. Algebra **388** (2013), 294–310. 1
10. J. Herzog, Generators and relations of abelian semigroup rings, Manuscripta math. **3**(1970), 175–193. 11
11. J. Herzog, When is a regular sequence super regular?, Nagoya Math. J. **83** (1981), 183–195. 10
12. J. Herzog and T. Hibi, Monomial ideals, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **260**. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011. 5
13. J. Herzog, M. E. Rossi, and G. Valla, On the depth of the symmetric algebra, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **296** (2) (1986), 577–606. 2, 10

14. J. Herzog and D.I. Stamate, On the defining equations of the tangent cone of a numerical semigroup ring, J. Algebra **418** (2014), 8–28. 2, 6, 9, 10, 22, 23, 24
15. R. Jafari and S. Zarzuela Armengou, On monomial curves obtained by gluing, Semigroup Forum **88**(2014), 397–416. 18, 19, 20
16. A.V. Jayanthan and H. Srinivasan, Periodic occurence of complete interection monomial curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141**, (2013), 4199–4208. 1
17. A. Katsabekis and I. Ojeda, An indispensable classification of monomial curves in $\mathbb{A}^4(k)$, Pacific J. Math. **268** (1) (2014), 95–116. 11, 13, 14
18. J. Komeda, On the existence of Weierstrass points with a certain semigroup, Tsukuba J. Math. **6** (1982), no. 2, 237–270. 15
19. A. Marzullo, On the periodicity of the first betti number of the semigroup ring under translations, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **28** (2013), 195–212. 1
20. G.L. Matthews, On integers nonrepresentable by a generalized arithmetic progression, Integers **5** (2005), no. 2, A12, 6 pp. 7
21. I. Peeva and B. Sturmfels, Generic lattice ideals, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1998), 363–373. 7
22. E. Reyes, R.H. Villarreal, and L. Zárate, A note on affine toric varieies, Linear Algebra and its Applications **318** (2000), 173–179. 3
23. L. Robbiano, Coni tangenti a singolarità razionali, Curve algebriche, Istituto di Analisi Globale, Firenze, 1981. 10
24. L. Robbiano and G. Valla, On the equations defining tangent cones, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **88** (1980), no. 2, 281–297. 12
25. J.C. Rosales, On presentations of subsemigroups of $\mathbb{N}^n$, Semigroup Froum **55** (1997), 152–159. 18
26. M.E. Rossi and L. Sharifan, Minimal free resolution of a finitely generated module over a regular local ring, J. of Algebra **322**(2009), 3693–3712. 17
27. J.D. Sally, Stretched Gorenstein rings, J. London Math. Soc. **20**(2) (1979), 19–26. 17
28. E.S. Selmer, On the linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius, J. Reine Angew. Math. 239/294 (1977), 1–17. 7
29. Y.H. Shen, Tangent cone of numerical semigroup rings of embedding dimension three, Comm. Algebra **39** (2011), 1922–1940. 12
30. L. Sharifan and R. Zaare-Nahandi, Minimal free resolution of the associated graded ring of monomial curves of generalized arithmetic sequences, J. Pure and Applied Algebra **213** (2009), 360–369. 2, 10
31. D.I. Stamate, Asymptotic properties in the shifted family of a numerical semigroup with few generators, Semigroup Forum (2015), DOI 10.1007/s00233-015-9724-2. 3, 24
32. T. Vu, Periodicity of Betti numbers of monomial curves, J. Algebra **418** (2014), 66–90. 1, 22, 24

[1] Mosaheb Institute of Mathematics, Kharazmi Universtity, 599 Taleghani Avenue, 19614 Tehran, Iran.

[1] School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM) P. O. Box: 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran.

[2] Departament de Matemàtiques i Informàtica, Universitat de Barcelona, Gran Via 585, 08007 Barcelona, Spain.

[1]Email: rjafari@khu.ac.ir

[2]Email: szarzuela@ub.edu